PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	n the ERNATIONAL SE	ARCHING AUTH	HORITY	BEST AVAILABLE COPY		
1	To: see form PCT/ISA/220			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	ne form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheel)	
App	licant's or agent's fil	ent's file reference				
see	form PCT/ISA/2	220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
	national application T/GB2004/00476		International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.11.2003	
Inter	national Patent Clas	ssification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC		
; F16	H15/50, F16H1	5/52				
Appl		***				
OR	BITAL TRACTIO	ON LTD				
;-···	**					
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the foll	lowing items:		
	☑ Box No. i	Basis of the op	pinion			
ļ	Box No. II	Priority				
!	Box No. III	Non-establish	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability	
!	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cit	ement under Rule, 43 <i>bis</i> tations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement	
į	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited			
:	Box No. VII		in the international app			
<u>.</u>	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application		
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON				
	the applicant cho	oses an Authori eau under Rule (u rieliminary Examining Iv other than this one to	} Authority ("IPEA"). Hi he the IPEA and the c	usually be considered to be a owever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the ional Searching Authority	
	DOMINIC OF THE 11 C	date of mailing o	looginar where anares	1710TA With amandman	PEA, the applicant is invited to its, before the expiration of three if 22 months from the priority date,	
	For further option	is, see Form PC	T/SA220			
U.	or ionaler obtains	s, s ea notes to F	orm PCT/ISA/220.		1	
Name	and mailing address	s of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		
	European P D-80298 Mu Tel. 449 89	inich 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236!	! ! 56 epmu d	Hassiotis, V		
	PAY: 4AU SQ	2200 . 4466		T-1 44 40 00 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

BEST AVAILABLE COPY 10/579183

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004764

		Managaretic 13 mmy 2				
_	Box No	. I Basis of the opinion				
1	. With reg	pard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following puage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2	With reg necessa	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a type o	of material:				
	Ll a	a sequence listing				
	□ t	able(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b format	t of material:				
	L u	written format				
	<u> </u>	computer readable form				
	c time of	filing/furnishing:				
	∐ c	ontained in the international application as filed.				
	U fi	led together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	_	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3	copie	eldition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional es is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opriate, were furnished.				
4	Additional comments:					

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004764

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-4

No: Claims

1,5-10

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

2-4

No: Claims

1,5-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

10/579183

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/004764

IAP20 Rap decivered 15 May 2006

To Chapter V.2.

Documents cited in the search report:

D1:WO 03/023256 A1 (ORBITAL TRACTION LTD; MILNER, PETER, JAMES) 20 March 2003 D2:WO 99/35417 A1 (MILNER, PETER, JAMES) 15 July 1999 D3:US-A-3 793 910 (NASVYTIS A,US) 26 February 1974

V.2.1 Independent Claim 1

Claim 1 is represented in such a general way that anyone of the cited documents D1-D3 can be used to show that all features of claim 1, as understood, are known, see for example in document D1, that clearly shows in figures 1,2 a

continuously variable transmission device of the type having planetary members (21) in rolling contact with radially inner and outer races (12,13,23,24) each comprising axially spaced relatively axially movable parts (22,12,13), and control means (27) for determining the axial separation of the parts of one of the two races, in which the planetary members are connected for drive transmission to an input or output member (33) of the transmission by connection means (32,31) which allows the radial position of the planets to vary in response variation in the axial separation of the parts of the said one of the two races, and in which the generatrix of the curved surface of at least one of the races and/or the planetary members (21) non-circular (see claim 8).

Therefore, the present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33 (2) PCT because the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new in respect of prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64 (1)-(3) PCT).

V.2.2. Claims 2-10 depending on Claim 1

Claims 2-10 depending on claim 1 and having as subject-matter special embodiments of the invention according to claim 1 do not fulfil the provisions of the PCT (Art. 33 and

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/004764

Rule 6 PCT) since their validity is dependent on that of claim 1, which has been denied.

Further, the features of the following claims do not add new features to the subject-matter of claim 1, the features being -at least per se - known from the documents listed below:

- claim 5: Document D1; see figures 2,6.
- claim 6: Document D1; see claim 6.
- claim 8: Document D1; see claim 12.
- claim 9: Document D2; see claims 31-33.
- claim 10: Document D1; see claim 19.

The dependent claim 7 is unclear, since it discloses features, as "at least a radial component", which are nor supported by the description.

To Chapter VII

The closest prior art document D1 is not identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein is not briefly discussed.

Reference signs in parentheses are not inserted in the claims to increase their intelligibility. This applies to both the preamble and characterising portion.

The independent claim 1 is not cast properly in the two part form, with those features which in combination are part of the closest prior art being placed in the preamble.